

# Southend-on-Sea Borough Council

Agenda  
Item No.

Report of Deputy Chief Executive (Place)

to

**Traffic Regulation Working Party  
and Cabinet Committee**

on

**4<sup>th</sup> November 2019**

Report prepared by Sharon Harrington,  
Interim Group Manager Highways & Traffic Network

---

## Traffic Regulation Orders Objections & Amendments

**Cabinet Member : Councillor Woodley  
Part 1 Public Agenda Item**

---

### **1. Purpose of Report**

- 1.1 For the Traffic Regulation Working Party and the Cabinet Committee to consider details of amendments and objections to Traffic Regulation Orders in respect of various proposals across the borough.

### **2. Recommendation**

- 2.1 **That the Traffic Regulation Working Party consider the objections and amendments to the Orders and recommend to the Cabinet Committee to:**

- (a) Implement the proposals without amendment; or,  
(b) Implement the proposals with amendment; or,  
(c) Take no further action**

- 2.2 **That the Cabinet Committee consider the views of the Traffic Regulation Working Party, following consideration of the representations received and agree the appropriate course of action.**

### **3. Background**

- 3.1 The Cabinet Committee periodically agrees to advertise proposals to implement waiting restrictions in various areas as a result of requests from Councillors and members of the public based upon an assessment against the Council's current policies.

3.2 The proposals shown on the attached **Appendix 1** were advertised through the local press and notices were displayed at appropriate locations informing residents and businesses of the proposals and inviting them to make representations in respect of the proposals. This process has resulted in the objections detailed in **Appendix 1** of this report. Officers have considered these objections and where possible tried to resolve them. Observations are provided to assist Members in their considerations and in making an informed decision.

3.3 The proposal shown on the attached **Appendix 2** did not require a traffic regulation order but was sent to statutory consultees for comment prior to implementation of the proposals and is included for Members information.

#### **4. Reasons for Recommendations**

4.1 The proposals aim to improve the operation of the existing parking controls to contribute to highway safety and to reduce congestion.

#### **5. Corporate Implications**

##### **5.1 Contribution to the Southend 2050 Road Map.**

5.1.1 Ensuring parking and traffic is managed while maintaining adequate access for emergency vehicles and general traffic flow. This is consistent with the Council's Vision and Corporate Priorities of Safe, Prosperous and Healthy.

##### **5.2 Financial Implications**

5.2.1 Costs for confirmation of the Order and amendments, in **Appendix 1**, if approved, can be met from existing budgets.

##### **5.3 Legal Implications**

5.3.1 The formal statutory consultative process has been completed in accordance with the requirements of the legislation.

##### **5.4 People Implications**

5.4.1 Works required to implement the agreed schemes will be undertaken by existing staff resources.

##### **5.5 Property Implications**

5.5.1 None

##### **5.6 Consultation**

5.6.1 This report provides details of the outcome of the statutory consultation process.

## **5.7 Equalities and Diversity Implications**

5.7.1 Any implications will be taken into account in designing the schemes.

## **5.8 Risk Assessment**

5.8.1 The proposals are designed to improve the operation of the parking scheme while maintaining highway safety and traffic flow and as such, are likely to have a positive impact.

## **5.9 Value for Money**

5.9.1 Works associated with the schemes listed in **Appendix 1** will be undertaken by the Council's term contractors, selected through a competitive tendering process to ensure value for money.

## **5.10 Community Safety Implications**

5.10.1 The proposals in **Appendix 1** if implemented will lead to improved community safety.

## **5.11 Environmental Impact**

5.11.1 There is no significant environmental impact as a result of introducing the Traffic Regulation Orders.

## **6. Background Papers**

6.1 None

## **7. Appendices**

7.1 **Appendix 1** - Details of representations received and Officer Observations.  
**Appendix 2** – Details of responses received from statutory consultees

**Appendix 1**  
**Details of representations received and Officer Observations relating to the**  
**Report on Traffic Regulation Orders**

Item	1
<b>Location</b>	Albion Road Westcliff-on-Sea
<b>Proposed by</b>	Cabinet Committee
<b>What is this request for</b>	To consider feedback and officer recommendations
<b>Proposal</b>	Revocation of Alternate Monthly Parking on both sides of road
<b>Current Restrictions (if any)</b>	Alternate Monthly Parking on both sides of the road
<b>Consultation dates</b>	From 26/9/19 to 17/10/19
<b>Number of properties consulted</b>	60
<b>Stakeholder feedback</b>	N/A
<b>Consultation feedback</b>	7 letters received 3 in support and 4 against Main comments in support include would like road to be one way and 20mph, in favour of 2 side parking in favour of the scheme but thinks road should be one way due to road being narrow; Main comments against include bad idea, road to narrow; used as a rat run would have problems access driveways; would cause more congestion
<b>Response rate</b>	Only 12% response
<b>% for / against</b>	Of the 12% response 46% were in favour and 54% against
<b>Officer Comments</b>	Albion Road is a busy road between West Road and Hamlet Court Road and is used a short cut to Hamlet Court Road
<b>Officer Recommendations</b>	Due to the width of the road and the vehicular crossovers it would not suitable to allow parking on both sides. Therefore it is recommend not to proceed with this proposal
<b>Any other information</b>	

<b>Item</b>	2
<b>Location</b>	Osborne Road Westcliff-on-Sea
<b>Proposed by</b>	Cabinet Committee
<b>What is this request for</b>	To consider feedback and officer recommendations
<b>Proposal</b>	Revocation of Alternate Monthly Parking 9am – 6pm daily on both sides of road
<b>Current Restrictions (if any)</b>	Alternate Monthly Parking 9am – 6pm daily on both sides of road
<b>Consultation dates</b>	From 26/9/19 to 17/10/19
<b>Number of properties consulted</b>	46
<b>Stakeholder feedback</b>	N/A
<b>Consultation feedback</b>	<p>4 letters received – 2 in support (includes a petition with 42 signatures from 26 properties) main comments in support include will be great to park near to properties; one suggestion was for residents to have use of Hamlet Court Road Car Park and a permit parking scheme</p> <p>2 letters against main comments include has been raised before and not actioned; road too narrow; cars forced to park on pavements to allow emergency services and dustcarts to go down road; will encourage more cars from outside and not help residents;</p>
<b>Response rate</b>	Out of 46 properties consulted only 26 properties responded via a petition containing 42 signatures for the scheme. There were four further responses 2 were in favour and 2 against.
<b>Officer Comments</b>	Recommend to revoke the alternate monthly parking as advertised
<b>Officer Recommendations</b>	Approve as Advertised
<b>Any other information</b>	N/A

## Appendix 2 – Details of responses received from statutory consultees

<b>Item</b>	1
<b>Location</b>	Chancellor Road
<b>Proposed by</b>	TBC
<b>What is this request for</b>	To consider feedback and officer recommendations
<b>Proposal</b>	To remove the Prohibition of Vehicle movements in the roads around the Travel Centre. Chancellor Road, Church Road, Heygate Avenue and Chichester Road.
<b>Current Restrictions (if any)</b>	<p>a) All vehicles except Buses, Taxis and goods vehicles from entering Church Road north of Chancellor Road and Chichester Road south of York Road.</p> <p>b) All vehicles travelling south on Church Road through the Chancellor Road junction</p> <p>c) All vehicles from turning right in to York Road</p>
<b>Consultation dates</b>	4 <sup>th</sup> – 14 <sup>th</sup> October 2019
<b>Number of properties consulted</b>	Statutory Consultees
<b>Stakeholder feedback</b>	2 responses. Police and Director of Culture, Tourism & Property (Scott Dolling)
<b>Consultation feedback</b>	<p>Police - No comments or concerns in relation to this proposal</p> <p>Scott Dolling – Supports the changes.</p>
<b>Response rate</b>	less than 5%
<b>% for / against</b>	0%
<b>Officer Comments</b>	N/A
<b>Officer Recommendations</b>	To proceed with the proposed changes.
<b>Any other information</b>	N/A