

Appendix 1: Review of Consultation Responses

Summary of public and local business comments from the first submission dated 15 January 2019

No	Consultee	Key matters of concern	Further details	Where is matter addressed in report
1	Resident	<p>Withheld information</p> <p>Insufficient car parking/loss of car parking</p> <p>Impact on Seaside businesses and the High Street</p> <p>Loss of jobs</p>	<p>Lack of transparency with the information given by the council</p>	<p>Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3</p> <p>Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8</p> <p>Jobs: Section 6, Point 8</p> <p>Withheld Information: See paragraphs 2.1-2.8</p>
2	Resident	<p>Insufficient car parking</p> <p>Resultant transport issues</p> <p>Loss of jobs and businesses</p> <p>Poor Design</p>		<p>Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3</p> <p>Traffic Impact: Section 6, Point 2</p> <p>Design: Section 6, Point 6</p> <p>Jobs: Section 6, Point 8</p>
3	Resident	<p>Insufficient car parking</p> <p>Resultant transport issues</p> <p>Impact on local businesses</p> <p>Poor design</p>	<p>Poor design for a prominent location</p>	<p>Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3</p> <p>Traffic Impact: Section 6, Point 2</p> <p>Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8</p> <p>Design: Section 6, Point 6</p>
4	Local Business employee	<p>Insufficient car parking</p> <p>Impact on local businesses</p> <p>Impact on local environment – air quality, loss of mature trees</p> <p>Resultant transport issues</p> <p>Impact on residents</p>	<p>Introduction of more late-night bars/restaurants will increase noise for residents backing onto the development.</p> <p>Loss of mature trees – loss of habitat for local wildlife</p>	<p>Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3</p> <p>Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8</p> <p>Air Quality: Section 6, Point 4</p> <p>Traffic Impact: Section 6, Point 2</p> <p>Design: Section 6, Point 6</p>

No	Consultee	Key matters of concern	Further details	Where is matter addressed in report
		Poor Design -not innkeeping with the local character		Trees: Section 6, Point 10
5	Resident	Parking Duplicate facilities Drainage System Pressure		Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Need: Section 6, Point 1 Drainage: Section 6, Point 12
6	Resident	Loss of car parking Impact on the High Street and local business		Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8
7	[not stated]	Not enough car parking Traffic Concerns Impact on businesses	Proposal is more suited to 'out of town development'	Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Traffic Impact: Section 6, Point 2 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8
8	Resident	Impact on local businesses Loss of jobs Parking concerns		Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8 Jobs: Section 6, Point 8
9	Resident	Parking concerns Loss of jobs Impact on businesses		Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8 Jobs: Section 6, Point 8
10	Local Business Owner	Parking concerns Resultant traffic concerns Impact on local businesses Loss of jobs Poor Design	Poor designed scheme for a prominent location	Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8 Traffic Impact: Section 6, Point 2 Design: Section 6, Point 6 Jobs: Section 6, Point 8
11	Resident	Parking Concerns		Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3

No	Consultee	Key matters of concern	Further details	Where is matter addressed in report
		Resultant traffic concerns Impact on local businesses		Traffic Impact: Section 6, Point 2 Jobs: Section 6, Point 8 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8
12	Resident	Parking Concerns Resultant Traffic concerns Loss of jobs Impact on high street and local businesses		Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Traffic Impact: Section 6, Point 2 Jobs: Section 6, Point 8 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8
13	Resident	Parking Concerns Resultant Traffic Concerns Impact on High Street businesses	No provision for resident parking	Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Traffic Impact: Section 6, Point 2 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 2
14	User of Southend Town Centre	Parking concerns Resultant traffic concerns Duplicate facilities Impact on town centre and local businesses		Need: Section 6, Point 1 Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8
15	Delivery Driver	Parking Concerns Resultant traffic impacts Impact on local businesses/town centre/seafront businesses		Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8
16	Resident	Parking concerns		Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3
17	Local Business Employee	Parking concerns Impact on local businesses/high street		Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8 Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2

No	Consultee	Key matters of concern	Further details	Where is matter addressed in report
		Traffic concerns Loss of jobs Poor design		Jobs: Section 6, Point 8 Design: Section 6, Point 6
18	Resident	Impact on local businesses/high Street Poor Design Parking Concerns Traffic Impacts Loss of jobs	Closure of significant buildings in the area - Kursaal	Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8 Design: Section 6, Point 6 Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Traffic Impact: Section 6, Point 2 Jobs: Section 6, Point 8
19	Local Business Employee	Impact on local businesses Parking concerns Duplicate facilities Poor Design Traffic Impacts		Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8 Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Design: Section 6, Point 6 Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2 Need: Section 6, Point 1
20	Local Business Employee	Parking Concerns Impact on local businesses Loss of jobs Traffic concerns	Reduction in tourists to the area due to lack of parking – Southend relies on tourists Delays to deliveries	Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8 Jobs: Section 6, Point 8 Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2
21	Local Business Employee	Parking Impact on local businesses/high street Lack of public consultation Duplicate facilities	Lack of public consultation	Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8 Jobs: Section 6, Point 8 Need: Section 6, Point 1 Public Consultation: Section 3

No	Consultee	Key matters of concern	Further details	Where is matter addressed in report
		Loss of jobs		
22	Resident	Traffic concerns Parking concerns Loss of jobs Impact on local businesses Impact on residents Environmental impacts – loss of trees/wildlife, pollution Loss of major attractions	Noise from the bars and clubs impacting on residents	Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2 Jobs: Section 6, Point 8 Noise: Section 6, Point 4 Trees: Section 6, Point 7 Ecology: Section 6, Point 10 Pollution/Air quality: Section 6, Point 4 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8
23	Resident	Parking Concerns Traffic Concerns Impact on local businesses Poor Design		Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8 Design: Section 6, Point 6
24	Resident	Parking concerns Impact on the High Street Loss of jobs	Drawing trade away from the High Street	Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8 Jobs: Section 6, Point 8
25	Resident	Parking Concerns Traffic Concerns Impact on local businesses/seafront business	Decline in tourism	Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8
26	Resident	Parking Concerns		Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3

No	Consultee	Key matters of concern	Further details	Where is matter addressed in report
		Traffic Concerns Impact on local businesses Poor Design		Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8 Design: Section 6, Point 6
27	Essex Chambers of Commerce	Parking Concerns Traffic Concerns	Parking provision goes against SCAAP policy DS5	Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2
28	Business Owner	Parking Concerns Traffic Concerns Impact on local businesses Poor Design		Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8 Design: Section 6, Point 6
29	Resident	Traffic Concerns Impact on local businesses		Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8
30	Unknown	Parking Concerns	Parking provision goes against SCAAP policy DS5	Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3
31	Business Owner	Impact on local businesses Traffic Concerns Parking Concerns Poor Design		Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8 Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2 Car Parking; Section 6, Point 3 Design: Section 6, Point 6
32	Business Manager	Duplicate facilities Parking Concerns Impact on local businesses Loss of jobs	Concern for The Kursaal and Odeon	Need: Section 6, Point 1 Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8 Jobs: Section 6, Point 8
33	Resident	Parking concerns	3am licence will cause problems for residents', noise	Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3

No	Consultee	Key matters of concern	Further details	Where is matter addressed in report
		Traffic Concerns Residential impact - Noise Impact on local businesses Loss of jobs	and disruption	Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2 Noise: Section 6, Point 4 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8 Jobs: Section 6, Point 8
34	Local Business Employee	Traffic concerns Parking concerns Impact on local businesses/high street Environmental Impact	Lack of ecological surveys and loss of trees	Trees: Section 6, Point 7 Ecology: Section 6, Point 10 Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2 Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8
35	Resident	Impact on local businesses Loss of jobs Parking Concerns Residential Impacts - noise	3am licence will cause problems for residents', noise and disruption	Noise: Section 6, Point 2 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8 Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3
36	Resident	Undefined opposition	-	-
37	Resident	Parking concerns Traffic concerns Impact on local businesses Poor design		Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8 Design: Section 6, Point 6
38	Resident	Impact on local businesses/high street Parking concerns		Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8 Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3

No	Consultee	Key matters of concern	Further details	Where is matter addressed in report
39	Resident	Parking Concerns Traffic Concerns Impact on local businesses/High Street Crime Environmental Impact	Late night, noisy drinking and restaurant venues will increase crime to the town Loss of mature trees	Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2 Residential Amenity: Section 6, Point 4 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8 Noise: Section 6, Point 4 Trees: Section 6, Point 7
40	Resident	Poor Design Duplication of facilities Impact on local businesses Loss of jobs Crime Traffic Concerns	Over developed the site Too many hotels all in one area	Design: Section 6, Point 6 Need: Section 6, Point 1 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8 Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2 Residential Amenity: Section 6, Point 4
41	Resident	Parking concerns Impact on local businesses/high street Loss of jobs Traffic concerns		Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8 Jobs: Section 6, Point 8 Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2
42	Resident/local Business Employee	Impact on local businesses Duplicate of facilities Poor Design	Appraisal of the sustainability of two cinemas? Lack of integration with the existing urban grain	Need: Section 6, Point 1 Design: Section 6, Point 6 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8 Integration: Section 6, Point 13

No	Consultee	Key matters of concern	Further details	Where is matter addressed in report
43	Resident	Duplicate of facilities Parking concerns Traffic concerns	Development period will cause more traffic issues	Need: Section 6, Point 1 Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Traffic Concerns: Section 6, Point 2
44	Resident	Parking Concerns Impact on local businesses/High Street Residential impact - noise		Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8 Noise: Section 6, Point 1
45	Resident	Duplicate of facilities Impact on local businesses/high street Traffic concerns	Construction period causing traffic problems	Need: Section 6, Point 1 Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8
46	Resident	Parking concerns Traffic concerns Residential impact – noise Impact on local businesses/high street		Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2 Noise: Section 6, Point 4 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8
47	Visitor to Southend	Impact on local businesses Traffic Concerns Parking concerns		Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8 Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2 Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3
48	Business operator	Parking concerns Impact on local businesses/high street Duplicate of facilities Loss of jobs Traffic concerns	Impact on residential properties - lack of privacy, visual impacts Other car parks are further away from the town to walk	Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Privacy: Section 6, Point 4 Visual Impact: Section 6, Point 5 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8 Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2 Need: Section 6, Point 1

No	Consultee	Key matters of concern	Further details	Where is matter addressed in report
		<p>Air pollution</p> <p>Noise for residents</p> <p>Impact on residential amenity</p> <p>Lack of integration to the town centre</p>		<p>Residential Amenity: Section 6, Point 4</p> <p>Air Quality: Section 6, Point 4</p> <p>Pedestrian Links: Section 6, Point 13</p>
49	Local Business Employee	<p>Parking Concerns</p> <p>Traffic concerns</p> <p>Impact on local businesses</p> <p>Loss of jobs</p> <p>Duplicate facilities</p> <p>Noise for residents</p>		<p>Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3</p> <p>Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2</p> <p>Jobs: Section 6, Point 8</p> <p>Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8</p> <p>Need: Section 6, Point 1</p> <p>Noise: Section 6, Point 4</p>
50	Local Business employee	<p>Parking concerns</p> <p>Impact on local businesses</p> <p>Duplicate facilities</p> <p>Loss of jobs</p> <p>Traffic Concerns</p>		<p>Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3</p> <p>Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8</p> <p>Need: Section 6, Point 4</p> <p>Jobs: Section 6, Point 8</p> <p>Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2</p>
51	Driver (local employee)	<p>Traffic concerns</p> <p>Parking concerns</p> <p>Impact on local businesses</p>		<p>Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2</p> <p>Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3</p> <p>Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8</p>
52	Resident	<p>Parking concerns</p> <p>Traffic concerns</p> <p>Impact on local businesses/high street</p>		<p>Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3</p> <p>Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2</p> <p>Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8</p>

No	Consultee	Key matters of concern	Further details	Where is matter addressed in report
		Job losses Noise for residents		Jobs: Section 6, Point 8 Noise: Section 6, Point 4
53	Business Owner	Duplicate of facilities Parking concerns Traffic concerns		Need: Section 6, Point 1 Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2
54	Local Business employee	Impact on local businesses/high street Duplicate facilities	Need more initiatives to bring investment to the high street e.g. parking initiatives Concern too many A3 units in Southend Town	Need: Section 6, Point 1 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8
55	Resident	Parking concerns Duplicate facilities Impact on local businesses/high street		Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Need: Section 6, Point 1 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8
56	Local Business owner	Parking concerns Impact on local businesses/high street		Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8
57	Resident	Residential amenity - noise Duplicate facilities Traffic concerns Environmental concerns – air pollution	Disrupts residential views to the sea Don't need another multi-storey car park, should just be surface car parking	Visual Impact: Section 6, Point 5 Need: Section 6, Point 1 Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2 Air quality: Section 6, Point 4
58	Resident	Parking concerns		Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3
59	Local Business owner	Parking Concerns Job losses Traffic concerns	Design is not in keeping with the local area	Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Jobs: Section 6, Point 8 Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2

No	Consultee	Key matters of concern	Further details	Where is matter addressed in report
		<p>Poor Design</p> <p>Residential amenity – noise</p> <p>Impact on local businesses</p> <p>Duplicate of facilities</p>		<p>Design: Section 6, Point 6</p> <p>Noise: Section 6, Point 4</p> <p>Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8</p> <p>Need: Section 6, Point 1</p>
60	Local Business employee	<p>Parking concerns</p> <p>Traffic concerns</p>	Other cars parks too far away from seafront to meet parking demands	<p>Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3</p> <p>Traffic Impacts:</p>
61	Resident	<p>Parking concerns</p> <p>Poor design</p> <p>Residential amenity – noise</p> <p>Duplicate facilities</p> <p>Impact local businesses</p> <p>Job losses</p>	Out of place with surroundings	<p>Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3</p> <p>Design: Section 6, Point 6</p> <p>Noise: Section 6, Point 4</p> <p>Need: Section 6, Point 1</p> <p>Jobs: Section 6, Point 8</p> <p>Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8</p>
62	Resident 61 (objecting on behalf of 7 other residents)	<p>Parking concerns</p> <p>Poor design</p> <p>Residential amenity – noise</p> <p>Duplicate facilities</p> <p>Impact local businesses</p> <p>Job losses</p>		<p>Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3</p> <p>Design: Section 6, Point 6</p> <p>Noise: Section 6, Point 4</p> <p>Need: Section 6, Point 1</p> <p>Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8</p> <p>Jobs: Section 6, Point 8</p>
63	Local Business owner	<p>Parking concerns</p> <p>Traffic concerns</p> <p>Impact on local businesses/high street</p>	<p>Businesses forced to relocate out of town</p> <p>Development suited to 'out of town'</p>	<p>Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3</p> <p>Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2</p> <p>Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8</p>

No	Consultee	Key matters of concern	Further details	Where is matter addressed in report
		Location is not right		
64	Resident	Parking concerns Impact on local businesses/seafront/high street		Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8
65	Resident	Parking concerns Traffic concerns Impact on local businesses Loss of jobs		Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 1 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8 Jobs: Section 6, Point 8
66	Resident	Parking concerns Traffic concerns Impact on local businesses/seafront		Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8
67	Local Business Employee	Parking concerns Impact on local businesses/high street/seafront Traffic concerns	Southend lacks other parking facilities	Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8 Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 8
68	Resident	Over developed Duplicate facilities Impact on local businesses/high street Loss of jobs Crime Traffic concerns	Too many hotels in one area	Need: Section 6, Point 1 Design: Section 6, Point 6 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8 Jobs: Section 6, Point 8 Residential Amenity: Section 6, Point 4 Traffic Concerns: Section 6, Point 2
69	Resident	Residential amenity – noise		Noise: Section 6, Point 4

No	Consultee	Key matters of concern	Further details	Where is matter addressed in report
		Parking concerns Impact on local businesses Crime		Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8 Residential Amenity: Section 6, Point 4
70	Resident	Impact on local businesses/seafront Duplicate facilities Parking concerns		Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8 Need: Section 6, Point 1 Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3
71	Resident and Local Business Employee	Parking concerns Impact on local businesses/seafront		Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8
72	Resident	Traffic concerns Parking concerns Environmental concerns – poor air quality	Significant improvements to the town's road networks are needed	Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2 Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Air Quality: Section 6, Point 4
73	Resident	Parking concerns Traffic concerns Impact on local businesses		Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8
74	Resident/employee	Traffic concerns Parking concerns Impact on local businesses/seafront Loss of jobs		Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2 Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8 Jobs: Section 6, Point 8
75	Local Business Employee and Resident	Parking concerns Duplicate of facilities	Need to improve parking initiatives to continue to attract people e.g. free parking	Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Need: Section 6, Point 2 Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2

No	Consultee	Key matters of concern	Further details	Where is matter addressed in report
		Traffic concerns Crime Loss of jobs Impact on local businesses/high street and education		Jobs: Section 6, Point 8 Residential Amenity: Section 6, Point 4 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8
76	Local Business Employee	Heritage impacts Fails to integrate the town and seafront Parking concerns Traffic concerns Impact on local businesses Poor design Lack of integration with town centre and seafront Job losses	Not in accordance with SCAAP Policy CS1.2	Heritage: Section 6, Point 9 Pedestrian Links: Section 6, Point 13 Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2 Design: Section 6, Point 6 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8 Jobs: Section 6, Point 8 Integration: Section 6, Point 13
77	Resident	Parking concerns Impact on local businesses/high street		Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Trade Draws: Section 6, Point 8
78	Resident	Parking concerns Impact on local businesses/high street Job losses Duplicate facilities Traffic concerns	Should be improving the facilities Southend already has	Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8 Jobs: Section 6, Point 8 Need: Section 6, Point 1 Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2
79	Resident	Impact on local businesses/high street Job losses	No connections to the wider streetscape, inward facing development	Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8 Jobs: Section 6, Point 8

No	Consultee	Key matters of concern	Further details	Where is matter addressed in report
		Parking concerns Anti-social behaviour Crime Heritage Impact on residents Poor Design Quality Lack of public space	Narrow and unlooked spaces because of proposal	Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Residential Amenity: Section 6, Point 4 Heritage: Section 6, Point 9 Design: Section 6, Point 6 Public Space: Section 6, Point 7
80	Local Business	Lack of relationship to the surrounding area Impact on local businesses/high street Parking concern Traffic concerns Location Lack of integration with surrounding area Residential amenity impacts - noise Poor Design	Fails to create a legacy for Southend Temporary gas works car park fails to provide a long-term parking solution 'out-of-town' scheme Fails to provide linkages to the Seafront Lack of permeability through the site to provide a connection to City beach No unity between the different scale and massing of buildings on the surrounding roads, particularly residential houses	Design: Section 6, Point 6 Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Pedestrian Links: Section 6, Point 13 Spanish Steps: Section 6, Point 13
81	Resident and Representative of a local football group	Impact on local businesses/high street Parking concerns Traffic concerns		Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8 Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2
82	Resident and Local Business Employee	Traffic concerns Parking concerns		Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2 Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3

No	Consultee	Key matters of concern	Further details	Where is matter addressed in report
83	Resident	Residential amenity impact – noise Traffic concerns		Noise: Section 6, Point 4 Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2
84	Business Employee	Traffic concerns Parking concerns Impact on local businesses/high street	Traffic concerns during and after development works	Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2 Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8
85	Resident	Impact on local businesses/high street Parking concerns		Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8 Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3
86	Resident/business owner	Parking concerns Traffic concerns Impact on local businesses/high street Job losses Poor design		Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8 Jobs: Section 6, Point 8 Design: Section 6, Point 6
87	Local Business Employee/ Resident	Parking concerns Impact on local businesses/high street Traffic Concerns Loss of jobs		Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Jobs: Section 6, Point 8 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8
88	Resident	Loss of jobs Impact on local businesses/high street Traffic concerns		Jobs: Section 6, Point 8 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8 Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2
89	Local Business	Parking concerns Impact on local businesses		Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2

No	Consultee	Key matters of concern	Further details	Where is matter addressed in report
		Traffic concerns Duplicate of facilities		Need: Section 6, Point 1 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8
90	[unkown]	Parking concerns Traffic concerns Impact on local businesses/high street		Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8
91	Local Business Employee	Parking Concern	Introduction of parking incentives	Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3
92	[unkown]	Traffic Concerns Impact on local businesses Loss of jobs Heritage Parking concerns	Loss of the heritage building The Kursaal	Heritage: Section 6, Point 9 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8 Jobs: Section 6, Point 8 Heritage: Section 6, Point 9 Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3
93	Resident	Duplicate facilities Parking concerns		Need: Section 6, Point 1 Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3
94	Resident	Parking concerns Impact on local businesses/high street/seafront Residential amenity impact – lack of residential parking in side roads Loss of jobs	Lack of residential parking in side roads near proposed development	Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8 Residential Amenity: Section 6, Point 4 Jobs: Section 6, Point 8
95	Resident	Ecological impact Impact on local businesses/town	Mature trees removed as part of proposal	Trees: Section 6, Point 7 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8
96	Resident	Undefined opposition	-	-

No	Consultee	Key matters of concern	Further details	Where is matter addressed in report
97	Resident	Parking concerns Traffic concerns Impact on local businesses/seafront/high street		Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8
98	Resident	Parking concern		Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3
99	Business Employee/Resident	Parking concern Traffic concerns Impact on local businesses/high street Poor design		Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8 Design: Section 6, Point 6
100	Resident	Duplicate of facilities Impact on local businesses/high street/seafront Poor design		Need: Section 6, Point 1 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8 Design: Section 6, Point 6
101	Resident	Traffic concerns Impact on local businesses/high street Environmental impact - pollution	Take away parking from residents	Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8 Air Quality: Section 6, Point 4
102	Business	Parking concerns Traffic concerns Impact on local businesses/high street Shared space Poor design Lack of integration with the seafront and high street	Car parking surveys undertaken were not carried out on the seafront and High Street – does not provide valid evidence Department for Transport guidelines stop the creation of any new shared space Mode shares should be conducted for the seafront not for the town centre	Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2 No shared surface: Section 3 - Highways Design: Section 6, Point 6 Pedestrian Links: Section 6, Point 13
103	Business	Parking concerns	Car parking surveys undertaken were not carried out	Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3

No	Consultee	Key matters of concern	Further details	Where is matter addressed in report
		<p>Traffic concerns</p> <p>Impact on local businesses/high street</p> <p>Shared space</p> <p>Poor design</p> <p>Lack of integration with the seafront and high street</p>	<p>on the seafront and High Street - does not provide valid evidence</p> <p>Department for Transport guidelines stop the creation of any new shared space</p> <p>Mode shares should be conducted for the seafront not for the town centre</p>	<p>Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2</p> <p>Design: Section 6, Point 6</p> <p>Integration: Section 6, Point 13</p> <p>No shared space: Section 3 - Highways</p>
104	Resident	<p>Duplicate of facilities</p> <p>Parking concerns</p> <p>Traffic concerns</p> <p>Impact on local businesses/high street</p>		<p>Need: Section 6, Point 1</p> <p>Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3</p> <p>Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2</p> <p>Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8</p>
105	Resident	<p>Parking concerns</p> <p>Poor Design</p> <p>Lack of connectivity to nearby areas</p> <p>Poor offer of facilities</p> <p>Impact on local businesses/high street</p>		<p>Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3</p> <p>Design: Section 6, Point 6</p> <p>Pedestrian Links: Section 6, Point 13</p> <p>Trade Draws: Section 6, Point 8</p>
106	Resident	<p>Impact on local businesses/high street</p> <p>Job losses</p> <p>Location issues</p> <p>Competition from other multi complexes</p>		<p>Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8</p> <p>Jobs: Section 6, Point 8</p> <p>Need: Section 6, Point 1</p>
107	Luken Beck (on behalf of AEW UK owners of Kursaal)	<p>Impact on local businesses</p> <p>Job losses</p> <p>Duplicate of facilities</p>	<p>Economic Benefits Assessment makes no assessment of the potential impact on the viability of similar existing leisure/tourism businesses</p>	<p>Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8</p> <p>Jobs: Section 6, Point 8</p> <p>Need: Section 6, Point 1</p>

No	Consultee	Key matters of concern	Further details	Where is matter addressed in report
		heritage		Heritage: Section 6, Point 9
108	Seafront Traders Association	<p>Parking concerns</p> <p>Poor design</p> <p>Pressure on sewage and drainage systems</p> <p>Duplicate of facilities</p> <p>Impact on local businesses/high street</p> <p>Loss of jobs</p> <p>Anti-social behaviour</p>	<p>Residents on Marine parade can obtain a resident's annual pass for seaway – where do they park during construction?</p> <p>Include parking initiatives e.g. free parking</p> <p>Greater policing presence required with greater numbers of drinking establishments proposed</p>	<p>Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3</p> <p>Design: Section 6, Point 6</p> <p>Drainage: Section 6, Point 12</p> <p>Need: Section 6, Point 1</p> <p>Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8</p> <p>Jobs: Section 6, Point 8</p> <p>Residential Amenity: Section 6, Point 4</p>
109	Business Owner	<p>Parking concerns</p> <p>Impact on local businesses/high street/seafront</p>		<p>Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3</p> <p>Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8</p>
110	Resident	<p>Impact on local businesses/high street</p> <p>Job losses</p> <p>Parking concerns</p> <p>Anti-social behaviour</p> <p>Crime</p> <p>Heritage</p> <p>Impact on residents</p> <p>Poor Design Quality</p>	<p>No connections to the wider streetscape, inward facing development</p> <p>Narrow spaces with lack of natural surveillance because of proposal</p>	<p>Pedestrian Links: Section 6, Point 13</p> <p>Active Frontages: Section 6, Point 6</p>

No	Consultee	Key matters of concern	Further details	Where is matter addressed in report
		Lack of public space		
111	Resident	Parking concern Traffic concern Impact on local businesses/seafront/high street Lack of integration with the high street or seafront Residential amenity-noise impacts	Gas works site is short term and is less spaces than the Seaways site	Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Traffic Impacts: Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8 Pedestrian Links: Section 6, Point 13 Noise: Section 6, Point 4
112	Resident	Parking concerns Traffic concerns Impact on local businesses/high street/seafront Poor design Loss of jobs Competition for other complexes	Parking incentives should be investigated	Parking Concerns: Section 6, Point 3 Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8 Design: Section 6, Point 6 Jobs: Section 6, Point 8 Need: Section 6, Point 1
113	Business owner	Parking concerns Impact on local businesses Traffic concerns		Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8 Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2
114	Resident	Parking concerns Residential impact – lack of parking Impact on local businesses Loss of jobs Traffic concerns	Issues for residential parking	Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Residential Impact: Section 6, Point 4 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8 Jobs: Section 6, Point 8 Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2
115	Resident	Duplicate of facilities	More inclined for residential flats on the site to make	Need: Section 6, Point 1

No	Consultee	Key matters of concern	Further details	Where is matter addressed in report
		<p>Loss of jobs</p> <p>Impact on local businesses</p> <p>Traffic concerns</p> <p>Poor design</p> <p>Residential amenity impact – noise</p> <p>Heritage impact</p>	the most of the views	<p>Jobs: Section 6, Point 8</p> <p>Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2</p> <p>Design: Section 6, Point 6</p> <p>Noise: Section 6, Point 4</p> <p>Heritage: Section 6, Point 9</p>
116	Local Business Employee	<p>Parking concerns</p> <p>Traffic concerns</p> <p>Impact on local businesses/high street</p> <p>Poor design</p>		<p>Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3</p> <p>Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2</p> <p>Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8</p> <p>Design: Section 6, Point 6</p>
117	Local Business Employee	<p>Parking concerns</p> <p>Impact on local businesses/high street</p> <p>Duplicate facilities</p>		<p>Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3</p> <p>Trade Draws: Section 6, Point 8</p> <p>Need: Section 6, Point 1</p>
118	Resident	<p>Parking concerns</p> <p>Traffic concerns</p> <p>Environmental Impacts – air pollution, loss of mature trees</p> <p>Residential impact – noise, loss of amenity, pollution</p> <p>Impact on local businesses/seafront/high street</p>		<p>Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3</p> <p>Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2</p> <p>Air Quality: Section 6, Point 4</p> <p>Trees: Section 6, Point 7</p> <p>Residential Amenity: Section 6, Point 4</p> <p>Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8</p>
119	Resident	Parking concerns		Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3
120	Local Business	Parking concerns		Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3

No	Consultee	Key matters of concern	Further details	Where is matter addressed in report
	employee	Traffic concerns Duplicate facilities Impact on local businesses/high street Lack of integration with the area		Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2 Need: Section 6, Point 1 Trade Draws: Section 6, Point 8 Pedestrian Links: Section 6, Point 13
121	Local Business employee/ Resident	Parking concerns Traffic concerns Duplicate facilities Impact on local businesses/high street		Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8 Need: Section 6, Point 1
122	Resident and Local Business Employee	Impact on local businesses/high street Loss of jobs Duplicate of facilities		Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8 Jobs: Section 6, Point 8 Need: Section 6, Point 1
123	Local Business Employee	Parking concerns Impact on local businesses Duplicate of facilities	Parking initiatives should be considered Competitors multi complexes	Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8 Need: Section 6, Point 1
124	Resident	Parking concerns Impact on local businesses Traffic concerns Poor Design		Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8 Design: Section 6, Point 6 Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2
125	Business Owner	Parking concerns		Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3
126	Resident	Parking concerns Impact on local businesses/high street		Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8

No	Consultee	Key matters of concern	Further details	Where is matter addressed in report
		Traffic concerns		Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2
127	[unkown]	Poor Design		Design: Section 6, Point 6
128	Resident	<p>Competition from other multiplex facilities</p> <p>Duplicate of facilities</p> <p>Lack of public consultation</p> <p>Poor Design</p> <p>Lack of integration with the high street</p> <p>Traffic concerns</p> <p>Impact on local businesses</p> <p>Parking concerns</p>	<p>Residential units should be considered in this town centre location</p> <p>Jobs proposed as part of the scheme are unlikely to be filled by labourers in the local area.</p>	<p>Policy CS1.2 - site allocation:</p> <p>Socio-economic: Section 6, Point 8</p> <p>Need: Section 6, Point 1</p> <p>Design: Section 6, Point 6</p> <p>Public Consultation: Section 3</p> <p>Pedestrian Links: Section 6, Point 13</p> <p>Traffic Impacts: Section 1, Point 2</p> <p>Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3</p>
129	Resident	<p>Parking concerns</p> <p>Traffic concerns</p> <p>Impact on local businesses</p>		<p>Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3</p> <p>Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2</p> <p>Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8</p>
130	Local Hotel (represents 14 employees)	<p>Parking concerns</p> <p>Duplicate of facilities</p> <p>Loss of Jobs</p> <p>Impact on local businesses/high street</p>		<p>Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3</p> <p>Need: Section 6, Point 1</p> <p>Jobs: Section 6, Point 8</p> <p>Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8</p>
131	Resident	<p>Traffic concerns</p> <p>Parking concerns</p> <p>Impact on local businesses/high street</p> <p>Crime</p>	<p>Crime in the area is already an issue and likely to get worse with a new multi storey car park and late-night openings</p>	<p>Residential Amenity: Section 6, Point 4</p> <p>Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2</p> <p>Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3</p>

No	Consultee	Key matters of concern	Further details	Where is matter addressed in report
				Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8
132	Resident/Local Business employee	Parking concerns Poor Design Traffic concerns Waste of public money		Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Design: Section 6, Point 6 Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2 Socio-economic: Section 6, Point 8
133	Local Business Employee/Resident	Impact on local businesses/seafront/high street Traffic concerns Parking concerns		Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8 Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2 Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3
134	Resident	Parking concerns		Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3
135	Resident	Traffic concerns Parking concerns		Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2
136	Resident	Parking concerns Traffic concerns Impact on local businesses/high street	Road improvements needed on the A127	Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2 Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8
137	Resident	Impact on local businesses Traffic concerns Heritage Poor Design Lack of integration to surrounding area		Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8 Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2 Heritage: Section 6, Point 9 Design: Section 6, Point 6 Integration: Section 6, Point 5
138	Resident	Parking concerns Drainage system pressure	What is the long-term solution to car parking? Gas works is only a short-term solution	Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Drainage: Section 6,

No	Consultee	Key matters of concern	Further details	Where is matter addressed in report
		Loss of jobs Environmental Impacts – pollution Crime		Jobs: Section 6, Point 8 Air Quality: Section 6, Point 4 Residential Amenity: Section 6, Point 4
139	Local Business	Parking concerns Traffic concerns Poor Design Impact on local businesses Job losses		Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Traffic Impact: Section 6, Point 2 Design: Section 6, Point 6 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8 Jobs: Section 6, Point 8
140	Resident	Parking concerns Heritage Impact on local businesses		Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Heritage: Section 6, Point 9 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8
141	Resident/ business employee	Parking concerns Traffic concerns Duplicate of facilities Impact on local businesses/high street Loss of jobs Poor Design Crime Residential amenity impact - noise		Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2 Need: Section 6, Point 1 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8 Jobs: Section 6, Point 8 Design: Section 6, Point 6 Residential Amenity: Section 6, Point 4
142	Resident	Environmental Impacts – dust	Construction impacts for residents especially dust	Air Quality: Section 6, Point 4
143	Resident	Residential Amenity	Brick dust in particular a concern from experience of	Residential Amenity: Section 6, Point

No	Consultee	Key matters of concern	Further details	Where is matter addressed in report
		Construction Impacts, dust, noise	the Rossi Ice Cream Factory demolition	4 Construction: Section 6, Point 4
144	Essex Field Club	Ecology concerns	Inadequate information for consultation and decision making about biodiversity and protected species. Ecological appraisal is not fit for purpose. Insufficient bat surveys	Ecology: Section 6, Point 11

Consultee Responses from the second submission dated 20 September 2019

No	Consultee	Key Matters of Concern	Further Details	Where is matter addressed in report
1	Local Business Owner and Operator	Car Parking Concerns	Not policy compliant, especially policies in SCAAP.	See Appendix 3
2	Resident	Residential Amenity – during construction in particular Duplicate of facilities Traffic Concerns Loss of trees Impact on local businesses Crime/ Antisocial behaviour		Residential Amenity: Section 6, Point 4 Need: Section 6, Point 1 Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2 Trees: Section 6, Point 10
3	Resident	Parking Concerns		Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3
4	Resident	Poor Design Lack of integration with town centre	Southend would benefit from a development of this nature, but it is an “out of town” scheme. Southend would benefit from the proposed functions, but they must be	Design: Section 6, Point 6 Integration: Section 6, Point 5

No	Consultee	Key Matters of Concern	Further Details	Where is matter addressed in report
			integrated with the existing offer.	
5	Local Business Employee	Car Parking Loss of Jobs		Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Jobs: Section 6, Point 8
6	Resident	Supports the Principle of the Development but concerns around: Poor Design Impacts on local businesses Lack of integration	Site better suited for a residential led mixed-use development Should be subject to design competitions or design reviews.	Policy CS1.2. Review: Appendix 3 Design: Section 6, Point 6 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8
7	-	Public Consultation	Extension for Comments	Public Consultation: Section 3
8	Local Sports Club	Lack of public consultation Ecology Impacts Biodiversity Impacts	Insufficient ecology work undertaken on site - potential for bat roosts	Ecology: Section 6, Point 11
9	Resident	Parking Concerns Residential Amenity – noise, traffic Value of property reduced	Compensation or special concessions should be offered to residents and owners of properties in the vicinity such as triple glazing and noise insulation	Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Residential Amenity: Section 6, Point 4
10	Resident	Residential Amenity concerns – noise and vibration during construction	No formal objection to the plans to redevelop the area. Concerns the demolition of no.3 Herbert Grove will impact other properties on Herbert Grove. How long will the demolition process take?	Residential Amenity: Section 6, Point 4

Consultee Responses from the updated submission dated 15 October 2019

No	Consultee	Key Matters of Concern	Further Details	Where is matter addressed in report
1	Seafront Traders Association	Biodiversity Ecology	Lack of information in relation to biodiversity and protected species since the Preliminary Ecological Appraisal for the Council to make a decision	Ecology: Section 6, 11
2	Resident	Environmental Issues Sustainability Lack of Information		Sustainability: Section 6, Point 11 Public Consultation: Section 3 Lack of Information: See paragraphs 2.1-2.8
3	Resident	Lack of information Lack of balanced public consultation process Environmental Issues Pollution	No commitment to prioritise safe and accessible pedestrian or cycle routes to the wider area Lack of encouragement for more local sustainable businesses	Public Consultation: Section 3 Pollution: Section 6, Point 4 Environmental Concerns: Section 6, Point 4
4	Resident	Job Creation Investment to Southend High Street Well-known facilities e.g. Hollywood bowl Car Parking Trees	Support for the proposal	Jobs: Section 6, Point 8 Investment to the area: Section 6, Point 8 Land uses: Section 6, Point 1 Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Trees: Section 6, Point 10

No	Consultee	Key Matters of Concern	Further Details	Where is matter addressed in report
		All year round land uses		
5	-	Public Consultation	Extension for comments Submitted comments after the deadline	Public Consultation: Section 3
6	Resident	Car Parking Traffic Impacts		Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2
7	Resident	LVIA Heritage Impacts Car Parking		LVIA: Section 6, Point 5 Heritage: Section 6, Point 9 Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3
8	Resident	Heritage Impacts Car Parking Traffic Impacts		Heritage: Section 6, Point 9 Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2
9	Business	Car Parking Impact on Businesses		Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8 Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3
10	Local Business Employee	Car Parking Traffic Impacts		Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2

No	Consultee	Key Matters of Concern	Further Details	Where is matter addressed in report
		Pollution Impact on Local Businesses/High Street Duplicate of facilities		Pollution: Section 6, Point 4 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8 Need: Section 6, Point 1
11	Resident	Car Parking Poor Design Impact on Town Centre		Design: Section 6, point 10 Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8
12	Resident	Impact on local businesses Costs to taxpayers		Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8
13	Resident	Car Parking Traffic Impacts Impact on local businesses		Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8
14	Resident	Duplicate of facilities Job losses		Need: Section 6, Point 1 Jobs: Section 6, Point 8

No	Consultee	Key Matters of Concern	Further Details	Where is matter addressed in report
		Car Parking Impact on local businesses		Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8
15	Local Business Employee	Duplicate facilities Car Parking Impact on local businesses/town centre		Need: Section 6, Point 1 Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8
16	Resident	Poor Design Car Parking Traffic Impacts Impact on local businesses		Design: Section 6, Point 6 Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2 Trade draw: Section 6, Point 8
17	Visitor	Car Parking		Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3
18	Local Business	Land Use Poor Design Car Parking	Proposals should be taken through an independent design review panel Proposal doesn't reflect the uniqueness/importance of the seafront Temporary car park is not centrally located – does not provide a long term solution	Land Uses: Section 6, Point 1 Design: Section 6, Point 6 Car Parking: Section 6, Point 2 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8

No	Consultee	Key Matters of Concern	Further Details	Where is matter addressed in report
		<p>Impact on Town Centre/businesses</p> <p>Traffic Impacts</p> <p>Lack of Integration</p> <p>Residential Amenity Impacts</p> <p>Non- compliant with Policy</p> <p>Historic Environment</p>	<p>Design is an 'out-of-town' scheme</p> <p>Lack of linkages to the Seafront, main access into the site is a bland retail box, proposed buildings are orientated the wrong way around fronting the main commercial activity on Herbert Grove, no new pedestrian link through to the seafront, poor inter relationship of the proposed buildings, poor use of materials and composition; and leisure building provides a negative impact on residential amenity and outlook.</p> <p>The proposal does not reflect that it is a Gateway.</p>	<p>Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2</p> <p>Pedestrian Links: Section 6, Point 13</p> <p>Residential Amenity: Section 6, Point 4</p> <p>Policy Compliance: Appendix 3</p> <p>Historic Environment: Section6, Point 9</p>
19	Local Hotel and resident	<p>Poor Design</p> <p>Car Parking</p> <p>Lack of jobs</p>		<p>Design: Section 6, Point Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3</p> <p>6</p> <p>Jobs: Section 6, Point 8</p>
20	Resident	<p>Car Parking</p> <p>Poor Design</p> <p>Job losses</p>		<p>Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3</p> <p>Design: Section 6, Point 6</p> <p>Jobs: Section 6, Point 8</p>
21	Resident	Car Parking:		Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3

No	Consultee	Key Matters of Concern	Further Details	Where is matter addressed in report
		Traffic Impacts: Impact on the town centre/local businesses		Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8
22	Resident	Poor Design Car Parking Impact on the High Street/businesses		Design: Section 6, Point 6 Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8
23	Resident	Car Parking Impact on the high street/businesses		Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8
24	Resident	Car Parking Traffic Impacts Impact on local businesses		Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8
25	Resident	Stretch policing resourcing and health services Residential Amenity Car Parking Duplicate Facilities Loss of Jobs		Resources: Section 6 , Point 4 and 6 Residential Amenity: Section 6, Point 4 Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Need: Section 6, Point 1 Jobs: Section 6, Point 8

No	Consultee	Key Matters of Concern	Further Details	Where is matter addressed in report
26	Resident	<p>Crime</p> <p>Poor Integration with surrounding area</p> <p>Coach Parking</p> <p>Car Parking</p> <p>Poor Design</p> <p>Impact on local businesses</p> <p>Loss of Jobs</p> <p>Ecology</p>	<p>Failure to undertake a bat emergence survey of the toilet block</p> <p>Loss of mature trees</p>	<p>Crime: Section 6, Point 6</p> <p>Pedestrian Links: Section 6, Point 13</p> <p>Coach Parking: Section 6, Point 2</p> <p>Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8</p> <p>Jobs: Section 6, Point 8</p> <p>Ecology: Section 6, Point 10</p>
27	Resident	<p>Impact on High Street</p> <p>Loss of jobs</p>		<p>Trade Draw: Section 6, point 8</p> <p>Jobs: Section 6, Point 8</p>
28	-	Integration	Requesting further information from the Council regarding cycling routes and facilities to the proposed development from the area	<p>Cycling: Section 6, Point 3 and 13</p> <p>Integration: Section 6, Point 13</p>
29	Resident	<p>Car Parking</p> <p>Traffic Impacts</p> <p>Impact on the Town Centre/local businesses</p>		<p>Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3</p> <p>Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 3</p> <p>Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8</p>
30	Local Business Employee/resident	Car Parking		Car Parking: Section 6, Point 2

No	Consultee	Key Matters of Concern	Further Details	Where is matter addressed in report
31	-	Car Parking Lack of Integration Impact on businesses		Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Integration: Section 6, Point 13 Trade Draw: Section 6, Point 8
32	Resident	Noise Traffic Congestion Insufficient parking Antisocial behaviour Private ownership of car park Large buildings impacts views and light	Noise concerns relate to noise from people using the facility and traffic Concerns of antisocial behaviour due to multi-storey car park. Who will fund policing? Private ownership could mean blue badge holders will no longer be able to park for free.	Residential Amenity Section 6, Point 4 Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2 Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Crime: Section 6, Point 6 Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Design: Section 6, Point 6, Residential Amenity Section 6, Point 4 and Townscape and Visual Impact: Section 6, Point 5
33	-	Car Parking Duplicate Facilities Traffic Congestion	Need more parking not less. Already have cinema in the High Street which is easier to access via public transport. Concerns A127 congestion on sunny days.	Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Need: Section 6, Point 1 Traffic Impacts: Section 6, Point 2
34	-	Car Parking	Reduction in car parking will impact economic development. If strategy is to switch to other modes, significant infrastructure and a strategy is needed.	Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 and Socio-Economic Impacts: Section 6, Point 8

No	Consultee	Key Matters of Concern	Further Details	Where is matter addressed in report
		Contract concerns		
35	-	Car Parking	Loss of parking. Parking is already insufficient.	Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3
36	-	Car Parking Duplicate Facilities Poor Design		Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3 Need: Section 6, Point 1 Design: Section 6, Point 6
37	-	Impact on High Street Duplication Facilities Car Parking	Concerns will result in the existing cinema closing which will lead to closure of other shops. Already oversupply of A3/A5 restaurants which are struggling to survive. Already an oversupply of hotel accommodation. Reduces car parking which is detrimental to the trading activities of seafront traders.	Trade Draw: Section 6, point 8 Need: Section 6, Point 1 Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3
38	Local Business/Resident	Will leave the owners of Elizabeth Guest House homeless and without their business		Principle of Development, Section 6, Point 1
39	Local Business Owners and Operators	Economic Viability of other leisure facilities Impact on the Kursaal Residential Amenity	Concerns relating to impact on economic viability of other leisure uses, particularly the Kursaal and the subsequent impacts on the Kursaal from a heritage perspective, including concerns that it will be left vacant as a result of the development which would impact the Listed Building. Proposals have not fully considered the impact on the heritage assets. Residential impact to Herbert Grove and Chancellor Road in terms of outlook and sense of enclosure and daylight and sunlight. Sense of enclosure and loss of privacy o Hartington Road.	Trade Draw: Section 6, point 8 Historic Environment: Section6, Point 9 Residential Amenity Section 6, Point 4
40	-	Ecology concerns	In progressing application to DCC officers are acting contrary to Legislation on European Protected Species	Ecology: Section 6, Point 10

No	Consultee	Key Matters of Concern	Further Details	Where is matter addressed in report
			Lack of bat emergence surveys to toilet block – cannot be determined before this. Concerns relating to the downgrading of building 7 from low to negligible bat roost potential.	
41	Essex Field Club	Ecology concerns	Inadequate information for consultation and decision making about biodiversity and protected species. Ecological appraisal is not fit for purpose.	Ecology: Section 6, Point 11
42	Resident	Parking concerns Contract concerns	Side streets already difficult to park in during summer months. Parking impacts residents daily lives. Concerns site sold for £1.	Car Parking: Section 6, Point 3

Two standardised letters of support have also been received. The letters refer to the creation of up to 550 new jobs, re-energise the high street with a £50 million leisure facility that will boost the area by £15 million a year, deliver an 11 screen cinema with IMAX, Hollywood Bowl and Travelodge, delivery plenty of parking with 555 spaces, to plant 93 trees and make sure the site is used all year round, no matter what the weather.

The Stockvale Group Comments

Consultee	Key Matters of Concern	Further Details	Where is matter addressed in report
Stockvale Group (owners of Adventure Island, Sea Life Adventure Aquarium and other businesses in Southend)	Non Policy Compliant Impact on local businesses/high	Contrary to Policy. Fails to comply with own policies SCAAP CS1 2. Not policy compliant with KP2, DM2 and DM4, DS5 and NPPF. The main objections are related to:	See Section 6 and 9 of report

	<p>street Parking Concerns</p> <p>Poor Design</p> <p>Residential amenity Impact</p> <p>Lack of Public Consultation</p> <p>Traffic Concerns</p> <p>Lack of Integration</p> <p>Coach Parking</p> <p>Heritage</p> <p>Duplicate of facilities</p> <p>Loss of Jobs</p> <p>Impact on the Town Centre and Local Businesses</p> <p>Car Parking</p> <p>Traffic Impacts</p> <p>Ecology Impacts (bats nesting in disused buildings and 29 Herbert Grove)</p>	<p><i>Principle of development:</i> weak relationship with the Town Centre. The links provided do not consider traffic impediments or inclusivity.</p> <p>Proposal does not provide the opportunity for Spanish Steps to link to Marine Parade.</p> <p>Concerns related to the car parking calculations and methodology.</p> <p>Fails to provide active frontages along Lucy Road, despite policy requiring this on all new frontages.</p> <p>Limited coach parking and drop-off provided. No off-site provision has been provided either which policy allows for.</p> <p>Designs lack innovation and creativity.</p> <p>Lack of consideration given to residents, active frontages used throughout the evening and 3am licences for restaurants will impact residents. The proposed development has no through access and lacks integration to the wider area.</p> <p>Fails to comply with policy KP2 of the Core Strategy that developments “do not place a damaging burden on existing infrastructure.” The proposal will exacerbate parking issues and fails to improve car parking facilities in the town. The design and layout of the proposal harms the historic environment, lacks legibility and is out of scale.</p> <p>Fails to provide pedestrian and cycle improvements</p> <p><i>Economic Benefits:</i></p> <p>Concerns regarding the stated economic benefits set out within the submitted Economic Benefits Assessment, especially with regards to the displacement effects on existing leisure operations in the town, the Odeon and The Kursaal.</p> <p><i>Transport:</i></p> <p>Objections on the grounds of, no construction plan, no assessment of the effects of the loss of parking during construction.</p> <p>The cycle proposed does not meet the requirements of policy DS5.</p>	
--	---	--	--

	<p>Trees</p> <p>EIA</p> <p>LVIA</p> <p>Sustainability</p> <p>Other Matters</p>	<p>The changes proposed to Chancellors Road/Queensway act as a deterrent for pedestrians and cyclists.</p> <p>Insufficient coach drops off and pick up points.</p> <p>Parking accumulation assessments have not been undertaken.</p> <p>Objects that the application should not be approved until car parking allocations have been rectified and calculations calculated appropriately.</p> <p>Results in a net reduction in total car parking on site.</p> <p>Would cause severe impact in NPPF terms.</p> <p>Pedestrian safety concerns.</p> <p><i>Ecology:</i></p> <p>Proposal fails to comply with Policy CP7 and paragraph 175 of the NPPF.</p> <p>Application fails to provide necessary ecological information within the statutory determination period of the application</p> <p>Lack of appropriate ecology surveys undertaken by the council.</p> <p>Protected species surveys and mitigation are part of the Local Validation List and a material consideration to the application that needs to be addressed. Ecology surveys have not been undertaken and cannot be undertaken for some time.</p> <p>Lack of bat surveys.</p> <p>Concerns relating to classification of Building 7 as having negligible bat roost potential and the content and findings of the applicants submissions. RPS have undertaken their own bat roost assessment for building 7 and state that building 7 has a low bat roost potential. RPS raise concerns regarding the findings and conclusions of the additional bat roost assessment submitted by the applicants on 25th November 2019 and maintain that building 7 has a low bat roost potential.</p>	
--	--	---	--

		<p><i>Heritage:</i> Issues with the potential harm to heritage assets. The sight line between Palace Hotel and Kursaal Dome. The leisure unit will be significantly taller than the surround heritage assets and represents an unsympathetic form along the seafront where the development can be viewed from the Pier and Marine Parade.</p> <p>Impacts on the church from the proposed restaurant unit and leisure unit.</p> <p>Failure to conserve and enhance the Conservation Area or other designated heritage and non-designated heritage assets</p> <p><i>Trees:</i> Reduction in mature trees on site. The trees that are to remain along Herbert Grove have been given little protection and susceptible to construction damage.</p> <p>The removal of trees at the site is a non-emergency activity and it was announced on 29th July 2091 by a relevant Cabinet Member all non-emergency tree removals will be stopped.</p> <p>Alternatives must be explored which do not involve the removal of two trees subject to a recent TPO (TPO order)</p> <p><i>Noise and Residential amenity:</i></p> <p>Against Policy DM1 and SCAAP CS1 2.</p> <p>Noise from proposed restaurants and bars will be late into the evening and a regular occurrence for residents and noise from vehicles.</p> <p>Daylight and Sunlight concerns.</p> <p><i>Public consultation:</i></p> <p>Public consultation has relied on an outdated consultation for a different scheme.</p> <p>Council's policy of not publishing statutory and public comments deemed to be prejudicial to the process</p>	
--	--	---	--

		<p><i>Design:</i> Design Comments</p> <p>'No precise schedule of areas' for the scheme</p> <p>Re-start application process once all documents have been received</p> <p><i>EIA:</i></p> <p>The EIA Screening Statement and the Cover Letter attached to the revised planning application refer to a subsequent 'Air Quality Technical Memorandum'. Appendix G of the Opinion Statement only encloses the December 2018 report which was published as a draft and marked confidential. This is requested to be provided online (as of 9th October 2019 this was provided online by the Council).</p> <p>Heritage Report submitted with the EIA Screening for the proposed development indicates they have provided a response to RPS's original objections made on 7th February 2019, but not the most recent objections dated 20th September 2019. Appendix 3 is missing from both Heritage Assessments submitted for EIA Screening Opinion Requests and the one submitted with the planning application. The Applicant has not appropriately responded to concerns raised by RPS.</p> <p>RPS request the consultation period for both applications to be extended by 21 days to ensure all parties are able to make comment on the claimed assertions of the Applicant regarding air quality. Any decision before this becomes available is likely to be subject to a challenge.</p> <p>Disagree with Council's decision that the scheme is not EIA development. RPS submitted a Screening Direction to the Secretary of State in this respect.</p> <p><i>LVIA:</i></p> <p>Errors in the LVIA report and it downplays effects</p>	
--	--	---	--

		<p>Heritage – proposal has potential to adversely affect a number of heritage assets</p> <p>Impact on views from the Pier</p> <p>Socio-economic effects with the proposal</p> <p>Impact on habitat of protected species</p> <p>Air Quality Impacts</p> <p>HRA fails to assess the impact of dog walking activities properly</p> <p><i>Sustainability:</i></p> <p>Absence of a sustainability statement means sustainability has not been adequately addressed.</p> <p>Council Energy and Sustainability Manager not consulted</p> <p>Failed to provide a Sustainability Statement as part of the application</p> <p>Objections over the BREEAM report as being unclear. The proposal fails to meet policies KP2, DSQM and CS1.2.</p> <p>Objects to the proposal under the impression an EIA is required before the development be approved in relation to the above points where the development is not compliant.</p> <p>Concerns relating to the land deal</p>	
--	--	--	--