

School Performance Sub Group

Minutes of the meeting of the SPSG, held at the Tickfield Centre

04 March 2020

Board Members Present: Darren Woollard (DW); Brin Martin (BM) Maurice Sweeting (MS); Amanda Champ (AC); Jim Johnson (JJ); Lisa Clark (LC), Paul Hayman (PH); Jerry Glazier (JG); Vikki Wright (VW); and David Struthers (DS).

Data Team: Richard Warren & Olivia Allen

Minutes: Jan Linden

1.	Apologies, welcome and introductions if required	Action
	DW welcomed everybody and opened the meeting.	
2.	Notes of the last meeting, matters arising	
3.	Matters arising Minutes of 08 January - Jerry was not present at the last meeting. Terms of reference have been agreed and sent to Robert Harris. Now available on Democracy Live. Social worker in schools' pilot at Eastwood. BM - a very useful meeting. Discussions with the Head Teacher have resulted in this moving on and the pilot as planned is no longer a consideration. If a school is wishing to consider buying in their own social worker, it would be beneficial to go through the council, then they will be able to use all the services offered as opposed to buying them. The employer would be the Council. PH: Sacred Heart? BM and AC met with Director of Education for the Diocese, Robert Simpson. He has indicated that he will put Amanda in touch with her counterpart in order that discussions around Sacred Heart can take place. BM: ISOS meeting took place. 75% was accurate. A smaller group made up of HTs from across the borough is meeting later this month to consider the recommendations. The resulting set of actions will include recommendations to schools. BM – coronavirus could have some significant challenges for our schools. BM will use emergency HT contact list rather than SLN given the emergency situation and ensure all Head Teachers have directly received most recent advice, information and guidance.	

	<p>JJ: when considering the budget implications for EWMHS, support for all pupils is paramount. BM to take to the commissioning meeting tomorrow. JJ offered to be part of the panel to make decisions around this important piece of commissioning work.</p>	
<p>4.</p>	<p><u>SPSG Risk Register</u></p> <p>Risk Register 2020 FV.xlsx</p> <p>AC thanked the Data Team. Richard and Olivia presented this document.</p> <p>This is a useful tool that needs to be able to support pupils' outcomes and schools to achieve well in an inspection. The register is to identify schools who need support.</p> <p>Re: Ofsted contextual data, a second column of inspection date and projected next inspection to be added.</p> <p>Threshold for risk in some of the measures was currently subject to interpretation. This is for discussion in the group so acceptable thresholds can be set.</p> <p>LC: In the Curriculum Questionnaire, infant schools were not able to indicate that they do not teach a Modern Language and so some rated as Red. PH suggested that maybe we don't need to RAG rate curriculum in the same way we monitor data.</p> <p>Schools who had RAG rated subject areas as Amber in the Curriculum Questionnaire were not reflected in the Risk Register. There was a discussion around the format in which curriculum data was collected via DW and changing the way this information is collected. There are a number of schools who are not engaging in this process.</p> <p>Regarding financial information – if we're not getting the full picture for all schools, is it worth having this as a risk? It was discussed that there was school level data for MATs available to the public. However, this was not broken down into the financial data of surplus and deficit which is being used by the risk register, and therefore, there was no MAT data available to be added to this document unless the schools were to supply it themselves which is unlikely to be possible.</p> <p>2019 Attainment & Progress Overview Primary Schools – it was requested that phonics be added as an attainment measure for both Year 1 and 2.</p> <p>Staff attendance – JJ requested for the wellbeing data regarding staff attendance be added to the contextual data for the next iteration. A link</p>	<p>Data Team</p>

	<p>was sent to RW and OA for this particular dataset.</p> <p>Inclusion data needs to be updated using the January 2020 census data for all schools.</p> <p>Vulnerable groups – it was discussed that perhaps an overall number of SEN pupils in schools should be used as a measure. Look at the overall national rate and if a school is significantly above or below, then highlight this and pull through as a potential risk to the summary sheet. RW and OA to check the statistical validity of using this as a measure of risk.</p> <p>PH: By what date will the 2020 data be ready for primary and junior schools? RW advised that provisional data would be available by the end of the summer term and it was discussed that another meeting may be set for late in the summer term for this data to be presented.</p> <p>DW thanked the data team for all their hard work.</p>	
5.	<p><u>Other matters requiring update to SPSG</u></p> <p>Recently published issues at SECAT were raised which were not for this meeting. The LA are seeking assurances from the Trust.</p>	
6.	<p><u>Update on support for schools – focus on secondary provision</u></p> <p>BM will bring the three secondary schools’ business cases and interim monitoring to the next meeting for discussion.</p>	BM
7.	<p><u>Dates of future meetings</u> Wednesday 15 July 9am.</p>	
8.	<p><u>AOB</u> None</p>	

CHAIR _____ DATE _____