

SOUTHEND-ON-SEA BOROUGH COUNCIL

Meeting of Licensing Sub-Committee A

Date: Monday, 14th September, 2020

Place: Virtual Meeting via MS Teams

Present: Councillor B Ayling (Chair)
Councillors M Borton and A Dear

In Attendance: A Brown, T Row and A Penn

Start/End Time: 10.40 am - 11.25 am

363 Apologies for Absence

There were no apologies for absence.

364 Declarations of Interest

No interests were declared at the meeting.

365 Yak & Yeti, 1030 London Road, Leigh-on-Sea, Southend-on-Sea, Essex, SS9 3ND - Application for the Transfer of a Premises Licence

The sub-committee received a report of the Executive Director (Neighbourhoods and Environment) concerning an application by Masala Kitchen Ltd. to transfer the existing premises licence at Yak & Yeti, 1030 London Road, Leigh-on-Sea, Essex, SS9 3ND.

The applicant nor his legal representative were in attendance at the meeting. However, a written submission received from the applicant's legal representative in an email dated 11th September was read out at the hearing on behalf of the applicant.

Objections to the application had been received from Essex Police under the licensing objective of the prevention of crime and disorder, in particular, employing a person who is disqualified from work by reason of their immigration status. Ms K Drain (Essex Police) was in attendance at the hearing and gave evidence.

On the basis of the evidence presented to it, the sub-committee felt Mr T Singh would not be a fit and proper person to hold the premises licence on the grounds that the promotion of the licensing objective for the prevention of crime and disorder would be undermined. The sub-committee therefore:

Resolved:-

The application to transfer the licence be rejected.

366 Yak & Yeti, 1030 London Road, Leigh-on-Sea, Southend-on-Sea, Essex, SS9 3ND - Application for the variation of a premises licence to specify a new Designated Premises Supervisor

The sub-committee noted that an application had been made by Masala Kitchen UK Ltd for the variation of the premises licence to specify a new Designated Premises Supervisor.

However, only the current licence holder may make an application to vary the licence and, on the basis that the application to transfer the premises licence had been rejected by the sub-committee and the decision had been issued, the application to vary the premises licence was invalid and was therefore not determined.

Chair: _____