

MEMBERS REQUESTS LIST FOR HIGHWAYS, TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT AND PARKING SCHEMES

Note: Cabinet Committee in January 2016 agreed the following criterion for dealing with requests of waiting restrictions:-

Waiting Restrictions

These will only be considered if one of the following criteria is met;

- 1) Where a road safety problem has been identified by collision studies (3 Personal injury accidents in 3 years) and it is clear that an actual reduction in collisions may follow the introduction of such an Order.
- 2) Where evidence of the obstruction of the highway or visibility at junctions occurs on a frequent and severe basis, causing particular difficulties for emergency service vehicles and/or public transport.
- 3) Where commerce and industry are seriously affected by presence of parked vehicles.
- 4) Where the installation of TROs is essential to provide maximum benefit from capital investment.
- 5) On strategic routes and major distributors appropriate waiting and loading restrictions can be used to ensure that adequate road space is available for moving traffic waiting restrictions will not be provided for individual private accesses in isolation.
- 6) Cost of schemes and likely savings through accident reduction need to be part of priority consideration.

Reference Number	Date 1 st Reported (Month/Year)	Ward Member	Subject of Request	Update
14/15	March 14	Cllr Assenheim	Widen pedestrian refuge, Ness Road	<p>Widening the refuge on the northern side would involve significant alterations to existing kerblines in order to maintain existing carriageway width. It is estimated that this will cost approximately £45,000. Costs would be significant as area would have to be excavated and formed into carriageway standard surface, requiring suitable drains and relocation of the existing utility equipment. There is no accident history at this location.</p> <p>Concerns have been raised that buses over run the kerb however no issues identified on several site visits. In terms of the cost and benefit, the level of investment requirement does not support the principle of value for money.</p> <p>Recommend no further action and remove from list.</p>
15/01	March 15	Cllrs Ayling and VanLooy	Amend priority North, South and Central Avenues	Investigation on-going. Outcome to be reported in due course.
15/07	June 15	Cllr Salter	Consider pedestrian crossing Elmsleigh Drive near Rayleigh Drive.	<p>To be investigated when resources allow during financial year 2016/17.</p> <p>Member concern at suggested location due to loss of parking, Ward Members to identify alternative location.</p>

Reference Number	Date 1 st Reported (Month/Year)	Ward Member	Subject of Request	Update
15/08	July15	Cllr Holland	Consider hardening of verge, eastern end of Riviera Drive	<p>Majority of properties have no off street parking and frontages not adequate to allow for off street parking to be provided. Members will be considering a report requesting a proposal for permit parking controls be advertised in this area which will reduce parking pressure and it may be advisable to defer this request pending the outcome.</p> <p>Defer request</p>
15/18	August 15	Cllr Jarvis	<p>Saxon Gardens, Delaware Crescent, Blyth Avenue and Bunters Avenue. Residents are parking on green areas and have requested that this is formalised by additional parking being created. There are also areas of waiting restrictions which require investigation for removal or reduction.</p>	<p>When resources allow, a review will be undertaken to determine any locations where waiting restrictions can be amended to provide additional parking. There is a recommendation elsewhere on the committee's agenda in this regard. Report will be submitted to this committee detailing results.</p> <p>Recommend this request investigated during 2016/17, as part of the wider review of the historic waiting restrictions.</p> <p>The three streets are subject to parking pressure however property frontages are of adequate size to facilitate off street parking and residents should pursue the option to provide this. Where the properties are flats, discussion should be held with South Essex Homes as to potential remedies.</p> <p>Recommend no further action as off street parking areas can be provided and remove from list.</p>

Reference Number	Date 1 st Reported (Month/Year)	Ward Member	Subject of Request	Update
15/19	August 15	Cllr Jarvis	<p>One Way system in Saxon Gardens. This is associated with the above request and has been motivated by the success of the Delaware Crescent scheme.</p>	<p>One-way traffic flow may be beneficial; geometry of road is likely to prevent any potential speed increase which can be a general feature of one-way traffic flows.</p> <p>Recommend ward Members undertake survey of residents to assess preferred direction of flow, Committee is requested to authorise the advertisement of resulting proposals.</p>
16/01	Feb 16	Cllr Buckley	<p>Rochford Road Service Road. Propose restrictions to deter parking.</p>	<p>Parking is believed to be related to the airport and preventing parking in an isolated area will merely displace these vehicles.</p> <p>Recommend no further action at this time and suggest ward Councillors consider whether an area wide parking scheme would be appropriate in accordance with the policy requirements.</p>

Reference Number	Date 1 st Reported (Month/Year)	Ward Member	Subject of Request	Update
16/02	Feb 16	Cllr Buckley	Feeches Road junction with Rochford Road. Extend existing junction protection.	Junction currently protected with 15 metres of restrictions which is in excess of Highway Code guidance Recommend no further action.
16/03	April 16	Cllr Walker	Implement limited waiting restrictions – shops between school and Jones Corner	Area currently has no restrictions resulting in long term parking. Recommend limited waiting restrictions proposed to encourage parking turnover for local shop customers.
16/04	May 16	Cllr Courtney	Harden verges Silversea Drive	Very narrow verges along length of street are generally subject to parking due to the width of the road being unable to accommodate two-side parking without encroachment onto these areas. Recommend ward Members undertake consultation with residents in accordance with verge hardening policy. In the event that at least 40% of residents respond and that of these responses, 70% are supportive of the proposal, recommend to progress the request with the design incorporating “soft areas” at positions along the street for natural drainage including the consideration of tree planting.

Reference Number	Date 1 st Reported (Month/Year)	Ward Member	Subject of Request	Update
16/05	May 16	Cllr Assenheim	Extend restrictions Frobisher Way towards ASDA exit end.	<p>Does not meet criteria. Parking has been displaced following the introductions of restrictions further along the street. While the street is a bus route, the displaced parking has not encouraged any complaints from the bus operators.</p> <p>Recommend no further action.</p>
1/06	May 16	Cllr D Garston	Southchurch Boulevard. Propose restrictions by Centenary Place	<p>Does not meet criteria. The request is to provide restrictions adjacent to the vehicular access of a new development. At the planning permission stage, developments are assessed for both impact on the highway networks and access/exit arrangements. Concerns over visibility were not raised by colleagues considering the application. There have been no change in circumstances since then.</p> <p>Recommend no further action</p>
16/07	May 16	Cllr Hadley	Campfield Road. Propose waiting restrictions on bend by Cumberland Packaging	<p>Does not meet criteria. Vehicles waiting on the highway to access the factory, the driver is with the vehicle at all times therefore waiting restrictions would not prevent this practice.</p> <p>Recommend no further action.</p>

Reference Number	Date 1 st Reported (Month/Year)	Ward Member	Subject of Request	Update
16/08	August 16	Cllr Kenyon	Thorpe Hall Close – propose restrictions to protect driveway.	<p>Does not meet criteria. The powers delegated to the traffic authority in relation to Traffic Regulation Orders do not include proposing waiting restrictions to protect a private access.</p> <p>Recommend no further action.</p>
16/09	June 16	Chalkwell Councillors	Lansdowne Avenue. Propose one-way traffic flows southbound.	<p>No accidents recorded and as a residential street, traffic flow is not considered a high priority however, the road is heavily parked resulting in few passing places being available for vehicles to give way to oncoming traffic resulting in anti-social behaviour. Amending the traffic flow would resolve this issue while maintaining the much needed on street parking provision. Members have consulted residents who are supportive of the suggestion.</p> <p>36 residents support the suggestion to amend the traffic flow to one-way southbound 10 residents prefer northbound 2 residents not in favour of either option</p> <p>Recommend advertise proposals to amend traffic flow.</p>

Reference Number	Date 1 st Reported (Month/Year)	Ward Member	Subject of Request	Update
16/10	August 16	Cllr Lamb	Salisbury Road, Western Road area. Propose 1 hour restriction to prevent commuter parking.	<p>The agreed policy criterion for such restrictions is that these will only be considered if one of the following criteria is met;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Where a road safety problem has been identified by collision studies (3 Pia in 3 years) and it is clear that an actual reduction in collisions may follow the introduction of such an Order No evidence 2) Where evidence of the obstruction of the highway or visibility at junctions occurs on a frequent and severe basis, causing particular difficulties for emergency service vehicles and/or public transport whilst residents have expressed some concerns, there have not been any issues raised by the emergency services. 3) Where commerce and industry are seriously affected by presence of parked vehicles No evidence 4) Where the installation of TROs is essential to provide maximum benefit from capital investment not substantiated 5) On strategic routes and major distributors appropriate waiting and loading restrictions can be used to ensure that adequate road space is

				<p>available for moving traffic Waiting restrictions will not be provided for individual private accesses in isolation. Not met</p> <p>6) Cost of schemes and likely savings through accident reduction need to be part of priority consideration. Not met</p> <p>Members are reminded that residents were recently consulted as to permit parking controls to manage parking but the level of responses was not adequate to progress the suggestions (considered June 2016).</p> <p>Whilst the policy requirements for waiting restrictions are unmet, the primary purpose still is to manage parking. However any restrictions will lead to displacement in the neighbouring roads.</p> <p>Members could consider either of the following options:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) To agree no action is needed. b) To consider representations from ward councillors in this regard and agree proposals c) Make an exception to reconsider permit parking option already discussed at June 2016 meeting, if ward councillors wish to undertake further consultation for a Residents Parking Scheme <p>Members are asked to note the outcome of the residents parking consultation in this area which was reported to the June committee. The policy requirements were unmet mainly due to lack of response from a large proportion of residents with drives. The Members may</p>
--	--	--	--	---

				wish to consider this in their discussions and decision Members' views are sought.
Reference Number	Date 1st Reported (Month/Year)	Ward Member	Subject of Request	Update
16/11	August 2016	Cllr Woodley	Colbert Avenue. Propose waiting restrictions on west of church.	Does not meet criteria. No accidents recorded at or near to the location. It is considered that parking at the location is probably acting as a speed reduction feature. However concerns have been expressed by ward Councillors, the church and residents to deal with parking at the bend which is perceived to be dangerous. If agreed to consult, it is proposed ward councillors consult local residents for a consensus approach as previous efforts in this regard have been inconclusive. Member's Views are sought